

Graphene And Vaccine Detoxification – A Scientific Perspective

Saeed A. Qureshi, Ph.D. (principal@pharmacomechanics.com)

Someone asked my opinion on the topic. I am posting my view here so visitors may benefit from it too. Please see the context ([link](#)) in the comments section.

I have read about graphene in vaccines, often mentioned interchangeably as graphene, graphene oxide, or graphene hydroxide. It should not be like that. These materials should be considered different as they can provide very different characteristics.

I am unsure if I have seen any report from the manufacturers concerning the presence of graphene in the vaccines. Even though some experimental reports are published, by independent groups, on graphene in vaccine vials, they may not be sufficient to assess the contents. The simple, direct, and required approach to its confirmation remains to obtain a manufacturer's disclosure.

Why is it not getting disclosed? It is unclear to me. As a public safety matter, authorities such as FDA and Health Canada, NHS, EMA, etc., should be asking for it. They should have disclosed if graphene has been used, in what form, and how much. Only then can one proceed confidently to address the issue.

My question is, is the graphene there to start with? Supposedly, mRNA is the active ingredient and should be injected as such. The presence of other ingredients in the formulation, by definition, has to be thoroughly studied independently as safe and inactive.

In principle, graphene should have independently been shown to be *inactive* and safe. Such information should also be publicly available. I am not sure if it does. These are standard pharmaceutical practices implemented by health authorities worldwide.

So, in short, I am not yet sure if the graphene is in there, and if it is there, in which form and how much.

Lets us assume it is there and in nanoparticle format. Then the question is, is it causing toxicity by itself or in combination with other molecules, like proteins, mRNA?

I believe it is quite likely that graphene is causing adverse effects. Some reports describe graphene as sheet-like structures at the nano level that may act as razor blades. So, they could cause tissue injuries, and then the whole cascade of blood clotting, blockage, and other damages may start independent of the initial site of damage. Therefore, damaging effects would not necessarily require protein; graphene by itself could, as nanoparticles, be damaging.

If this theory/opinion is valid and acceptable, the immediate solution is to stop administering anything containing graphene. Period! There are no ifs and buts.

Now coming to the adherence and extraction of graphene with or without protein, using the chelating approach. From a chemical perspective, graphene is a form of carbon like charcoal but described as a hexagonal structure extended into

BIOANALYTICX
Everything about pharmaceutical testing



sheet form. The point being it is all carbon, and I have not seen it to exist in an ionic form or have a positive charge. So, I doubt that ions or ionization would play a role.

Therefore, chelation would not help. On the other hand, other compounds can be used to extract neutral molecules or particles. One name that comes to mind is cyclodextrins. They have cages in the middle of their structures where other small molecules and particles can reside and then be removed. However, the issue will be that such will be a blanket approach not specific to graphene particles. God knows what else it would remove from the body's components, which may produce even worse side effects than the nanoparticles or vaccines.

So, in short, I am not sure or a fan of theories circulating about the causes of vaccines' side effects and their suggested remedies. Most are marketing to establish businesses or getting large funding from government agencies for doing the "science." It is highly unlikely that they will produce any beneficial outcome, but it will be a waste of money and damage human health, at least human volunteers on which these theories will be tested.

So, now I have written a lengthy negative narrative. I should also provide some positives or hopes. In this regard, I believe in simple things. First and foremost, I would get out of this so-called science and its research conducted in hospital environments where chemical science is undertaken by people lacking relevant knowledge and training. It is mostly, if not all, fake and fraudulent. It is all that simple.

On the other hand, treatment and detoxification cannot happen until and unless one knows what the vaccines are, i.e., full detail of the vial's content and processing /manufacturing details used to produce them. Content-only details would not be sufficient as processing can drastically affect the contents.

As of now, one does not know such detail. However, one can consider it as rotting filth. It is impossible to know, even guess, what it would be doing to the body or its chemistry/molecules.

I have a view. It appears to have wrecked the body's acid/base balance toward higher acidity. Most vaccinated people may be living with elevated body acidity, causing extreme metabolic disorder, which shows up as infections and associated tissue damage.

So, I think the best is to bring the body's acid-base balance to normality by consuming copious amounts of appropriate (basic) food and simple nutritional supplements. It is best to seek advice from a naturopath or like for their suggestion in this respect – I will be happy to help from a chemistry/science perspective if needed.

BIOANALYTICX
Everything about pharmaceutical testing

