Some questions/answers about the virus, PCR, and vaccines Saeed A. Qureshi, Ph.D. (principal@pharmacomechanics.com)

• Is virus detection possible with PCR test?

- Why is the question or confusion? To answer this, allow me to describe my academic background and expertise. I can describe myself as a developer, validator, and user of tests with academic qualification of a post-graduate degree, Ph.D., and 40 years experience on the subject, including 30 years working at a regulatory authority (Health Canada) as a scientist.
- Such a subject, i.e., testing, does not belong to the field of medicines or pharmaceuticals but the science of chemistry. Considering this background, when I look for the test, any test, in this case - PCR, I can say that this test is not valid or relevant for the virus or pandemic. The reason is to develop and validate a test requires a pure sample of an item for which the test needs to be developed. In this case, the virus. As the virus has never been isolated or extracted from any subject (animal or human), a test cannot be developed or exist. Anyone saying otherwise is not correct. Period! All results reported based on such a test

(PCR) must be considered false and invalid.

• Has SARS-COV-2 been isolated?

• Now the confusion about virus isolation. Scientists and virologists use the word "isolate" for isolation. Very important to watch for this. I am not sure whether they are ignorant about the difference or intentionally deceiving the public because virus isolate and isolated virus are two completely different things. As an analogy, I would explain the difference as if you take sugar cane and press it hard, the syrup or soup that comes out is considered an isolate of sugar cane. However, if you concentrate the juice and leave it, sugar crystals will come out of the syrup. Those particles are considered isolated sugar or cane sugar. Unfortunately, virologists consider syrup or soup a virus and present it as isolated particles, which they never have. This is where the confusion is, i.e., the virus has never been isolated: hence it has never been characterized, and its illness cannot be claimed. So, in short, the virus has never been identified and characterized. All

www.drug-dissolution-testing.com For simple and practical ideas pictures one sees in literature are imaginary and computergenerated, not reality.

- Why did WHO declare a pandemic?
 - Perhaps more accurately, it is the medical experts, in particular virologists, who declared the pandemic. So wherever virologists are WHO, CDC, or related authorities, they say or accept the pandemic. The medical experts have been taught and trained based on the "isolates" and testing with PCR - both false and scientifically invalid. Furthermore, they are taught to be scared with this imaginary stuff, and they have been carried away with their scare unfortunately in error and mistakenly. Scientifically, it is a serious case of misdiagnosis. Hence, the narrative must be stopped immediately because this misdiagnosis and its follow-up treatment or handling are causing many more severe harms than the illness itself.

• Why is there an insistence on the mRNA vaccine?

 Again, this is how medical experts have been taught and trained. i.e., if there is a virus, treat it with a vaccine. Every virus, including its variant, requires a new and different vaccine. There is a potentially significant element of financial gain and personal achievement.

 Make sure people understand that vaccines supposedly are NOT a treatment but protection from a so-called future attack or threat. However, if illness still occurs, it needs to be treated with classical drugs often suggested, such as antibiotics or anti-parasitics. Their use should not be restricted or prohibited.

• What is the harm of mRNA fluids to our body?

It is difficult to answer because 0 exact details of what ingredients are present in the vial or dose are unknown. In general, it is claimed that mRNA is similar to body genetic material. The effect of such has not been determined as of yet. However, a general assumption can be made that as it is a synthetic material (i.e., not natural) body can substitute it as natural and accommodate it, which certainly causes serious concern. Perhaps that is the reason you might have heard that "gain of function" research has been prohibited; the side effects could potentially be extremely dangerous.



www.drug-dissolution-testing.com For simple and practical ideas