

From: Draft Text - 'Slaying the Virus and Vaccine Dragon'

Chapter 35 Germ vs Terrain Theory

Some thoughts on germ vs terrain theory: Talking about illness and viruses would not be complete without saying a few words about the so-called germ and terrain theories. The germ theory proposes that certain diseases are caused by microorganisms' invasion of the body, organisms too small to be seen except through a microscope. The French chemist and microbiologist Louis Pasteur, the English surgeon Joseph Lister, and the German physician Robert Koch is credited for developing and accepting the theory. In the mid-19th century, Pasteur showed that organisms in the air cause fermentation and putrefaction. In the 1860s, Lister revolutionized surgical practice by utilizing carbolic acid (phenol) to exclude atmospheric germs and thus prevent putrefaction in compound fractures of bones. In the 1880s, Koch identified the organisms that cause tuberculosis and cholera.

(<https://www.britannica.com/science/germ-theory>)

Terrain theory argues that if the body is well and balanced, germs that are a natural part of life and the environment will be dealt with by the body without causing sickness. The concept of virus and virology appears to have come from the germ theory, i.e., virus (microorganism) being germ. People who support the virus or virology provide pictures of the virus, such as SARS-CoV-2, indicating the germs are present; hence people are sick with them. In this regard, the first thing to consider is that these are theories, not scientific and proven correct, at least yet. Showing the presence of some particles with the help of a very powerful camera (aka electron microscope) does not mean that the presumed particles are viruses and cause illness or death. It is like finding some unusual amount of cash in someone's wallet does not prove a person is rich or the money is obtained by illegal means, and by extension, the person is a criminal. The court system is there to evaluate the legitimacy of the money and its possession. Similarly, one must prove that the observed particles are real and indeed caused the illness; this is the science part (the court/justice system) that comes into play. Science does not mean getting or promoting an opinion or view of a person or organization that carries the "word science" in its name, academic degree, or description. Science means conducting and showing some physical procedures (experiments) supporting the claims. Unfortunately, this is exactly the situation in the medical science area for the past few decades, particularly during the past two years of dealing with the COVID-19 pandemic. As an example,

In a US Senate hearing, Dr. Fauci, director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH), fired back against criticism by Republican lawmakers insisting his guidance throughout the coronavirus pandemic has been based on scientific evidence and that "science and truth are being attacked." Republican lawmakers have accused Dr. Fauci of "flip-flopping" on wearing masks and downplaying the possibility the pandemic started with a lab leak in Wuhan, China.

His coronavirus guidance has evolved with new data: "*That's what's called . . . the scientific process,*" Dr. Fauci said. "*As you get more information, it's essential that you change your opinion because you've got to be guided by the science and the current data.*" While Dr. Fauci initially dismissed the idea of wearing

face masks to prevent the spread of the virus in early March 2020, he changed his mind after new evidence emerged that masking significantly cut down on transmission, along with the news that up to half of the new cases at one point in the pandemic was passed along by an asymptomatic carrier, Dr. Fauci said Wednesday. Fauci expressed concern that political attacks will discredit him and other health officials and hurt their credibility with the public when they issue public health recommendations.

"People want to fire me or put me in jail for what I've done," Dr. Fauci said. "Namely, **follow the science.**" (Emphasis added).

<https://www.forbes.com/sites/carlieporterfield/2021/06/09/fauci-on-gop-criticism-attacks-on-me-quite-frankly-are-attacks-on-science/?sh=15a372e34542>)

The question is, why is he saying that he followed the science? Why not show the experimental procedures and details to the people and let the science speak for itself whether it has been pursued? The mask's effectiveness in protecting one from the virus is a case in point. Rather than stating the "following the science" mantra, he should have submitted a report from an experiment showing a mask or its material protecting or absorbing the virus resulting in assumed protection. Scientifically speaking, the usefulness of wearing face masks can be established with a straightforward laboratory experiment, as described earlier. However, experiments would require the virus and a method to monitor the virus. Experts ("scientists") do not tell the public that both, i.e., actual virus and a valid test to monitor the virus, are not available, which will collapse the whole virus and pandemic fraud. Clearly, the science has not been followed. So, why does Dr. Fauci say science has been followed? It is because he has been labeled as a scientist and is the part/head of the organization as a world-known "science" organization. Therefore, whatever that person's opinion is, or the organization's, should be taken as "science." This lack of science issue is not limited to Dr. Fauci or his organization but worldwide because they all have been taught to say and preach the same thing in a cult-like manner and declare one another the science experts. In layman's terms, they are effectively lying about science like a con artist and are not scientists by any criteria or definition of science. However, people often ask how one would say such a thing about a noble profession and its professionals. Although looking closely, these people are primarily medical doctors or physicians. No doubt, they are trained to provide medical services to people. However, they have never been taught or trained as scientists. Instead, they are trained as "repairpersons," not scientists as explained in detail previously under section science in the medical profession. So, it is hoped that people will understand that germ theory is a theory and is not a scientifically proven fact showing the cause of the illness or death. In reality, germs are needed to link, experimentally, to the body and the cause of the disease. For example, suppose someone gets buried in the mud and dies, but it does not mean the person died because of the mud. On the contrary, the person might have died of suffocation because of the covering with the mud. Therefore, superficial observation does not lead to explaining the disease. People do not realize that presence of germs alone cannot explain the cause of illness. One needs to explain how germs change the normal working mechanism of the body, the chemical environment, and the processes that cause illness or death. So the causes of the diseases have still to be found scientifically. That can only be established by studying the science, i.e., body physiology and chemistry, following fundamental science principles, as explained earlier.

On the other extreme of germ theory is terrain theory. Terrain theory argues that if the body is well and balanced, germs that are a natural part of life and the environment will be dealt with without causing sickness. "Germs are a natural part of life," that is, they are not harmful. It argues that the more important part is working on the 'terrain,' the body's inner environment making it inhospitable to viruses, parasites, etc. It is not clear how this theory came to such a conclusion. The body is not immortal. It has to die of its natural cause, usually indicated by illness. So, where does disease come from, and what is a condition? Arguably, this theory does not define health or a healthy body and how the stress-free, good food environment interacts with the "healthy" body. Where are experimental details to observe or describe the details? To prove this theory's validity, one must first understand the body's baseline mechanics, i.e., physiology and chemistry. It is missing; this is a science part that needs to be studied. Unfortunately, the public remains in the hands of self-proclaimed scientists, aka con artists; hence, true science is currently lacking.